# Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

ALTA DEVICES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.18-cv-00404-LHK (VKD)

#### ORDER SETTING HEARING RE PROTECTIVE ORDER DISPUTE

Re: Dkt. No. 32

On July 13, 2018, the parties filed a discovery statement regarding a dispute over certain provisions to be included in a protective order. Although they have stipulated to most of the terms (based on this district's model protective order for patent and trade secret cases), the parties have not agreed on (1) the scope of the patent prosecution bar (Section 8) and (2) the scope of materials to be covered by Section 9(c), which outlines procedures for the inspection of "source code." The parties have requested a hearing.

This Court will hold a hearing on the matter on **July 31, 2018, 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom 2. Prior to the hearing, the parties are directed to submit the following additional materials:

- 1. To assist this Court in the resolution of the present dispute, plaintiff Alta Devices, Inc. ("Alta Devices") shall lodge in camera with the undersigned's chambers a copy of its Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 2019.210 trade secret identification.<sup>1</sup>
- The parties shall submit a supplemental joint discovery statement. In view of Alta Devices' Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 2019.210 trade secret identification, (a) defendant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This Court is informed that Judge Koh, who presides over this matter, set a July 16, 2018 deadline for Alta Devices to serve its identification of its claimed trade secrets.

## United States District Court Northern District of California

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27

28

1

LG Electronics, Inc. shall provide the Court with its proposal concerning the scope of the Section 8 patent prosecution bar, and (b) Alta Devices shall advise the Court of revisions, if any, to its position regarding the scope of Section 8. Additionally, the parties shall identify for the Court any decisions from this district interpreting the scope of materials covered by the term "source code" as used in Section 9(c) of the model protective order.

These additional submissions shall be made on or before July 25, 2018.

#### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 18, 2018

Virginia K. DEMARCHI United States Magistrate Judge